Transition to pesticide-free Urban Green Spaces: French data on the acceptability by citizens, managers and local politicians

Marianne Lefebvre

Pauline Laille, Masha Maslianskaia-Pautrel & Maria Espinosa Goded

March 2022







At stake

- Technical solutions are available to manage UGS without pesticides... but they induce changes for the users & workers
- Received opinions from local politicians and managers: these changes are not acceptable by the citizens

Pesticide-free management is way more expensive and nobody wants to pay for that

Users do not want to see their urban landscapes changing. They think weeds look dirty



• Challenging some of the received opinions with regard to what changes are acceptable by the users

 Identify how the transition to pesticide-free UGSs
can be implemented so that it increases welfare for the majority of the citizens 1. What are the likely consequences of the adaptation of UGSs to allow their management without pesticides ?

2. Which one are the most valued & disliked by the citizens ?

3. Do we observe divergences across stakeholders ?



Method: Choice Experiment





Survey in Winter 2017-2018













increase





- Unchanged



Unchanged -



· Controlled aspect ·







- Improved -









RECREATIONAL

OPPORTUNITIES

FAUNA ABUNDANCE

INFORMATION **& TRAINING**

WORKING CONDITIONS

What are the consequences most valued by the citizens?

-> Willingness to accept an increase in the budget

Scenario « Apparently as before »

RECREATIONAL **OPPORTUNITIES**



VISUAL ASPECT



Controlled aspect

FAUNA ABUNDANCE

INFORMATION & TRAINING

WORKING CONDITIONS

BUDGET



Unchanged -

None -

Risk of deterioration

-11 % pt

Scenario « Laisser faire »

RECREATIONAL **OPPORTUNITIES**



VISUAL ASPECT

FAUNA ABUNDANCE

INFORMATION & TRAINING

WORKING CONDITIONS

BUDGET



- Available -



+1.75 % pt

Scenario « New opportunities»

RECREATIONAL **OPPORTUNITIES**



VISUAL ASPECT



- Minor increase -

X

FAUNA ABUNDANCE

& TRAINING

INFORMATION

WORKING CONDITIONS

BUDGET



- None -



Is there any divergence between their preferences?





SP









FAUNA ABUNDANCE



INFORMATION & TRAINING



WORKING CONDITIONS



- Improved -

1. What are the likely consequences of the adaptation of UGSs to allow their management without pesticides ?

2. Which one are the most valued & disliked by the citizens ?

3. Do we observe divergences across stakeholders ?



More information

- Lefebvre, Maslianskaia-Pautrel & Laille (2021) Préférences des usagers pour la gestion des espaces verts urbains sans pesticides : l'exemple de la France, Revue économique, 72 (6)
- Lefebvre, Maslianskaia-Pautrel & Laille, Alternative adaptation scenarios towards pesticide-free urban green spaces: welfare implication for French citizens, forthcoming in Environmental Science and Policy
- Lefebvre, Espinosa Goded, Maslianskaia-Pautrel & Laille, Transition to pesticide-free Urban Green Spaces: Divergence between public administrators' and citizens' preferences, under revision in Journal of Environmental Planning and Management