STUDY: Pesticide drift mitigation measures appear to reduce contamination of non-agricultural areas, but hazards to humans and the environment remain

Science of the Total Environment, 2022

26th October 2022









University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna



in Consiglio Provinciale Grune Fraktion im Landtag





INTRODUCTION

- Study content
- Key findings
- Sustainable Use Regulation Improvements NEEDED



STUDY CONTENTS

- Study period 2014 2020
- 306 gras samples on analysed on pesticide residues
- 88 different sample sides in South-Tyrol (northern part of Italy) / non-target areas
- Apples and wine production





KEY FINDINGS

- 73% of sampled sites at least 1 residue / 27% with multiply residues
- Fluazinam 74% of contaminated sites // Captan 60% // Phosmet 49%
- Residues «Harm to human reproduction» 21% (2014) → 88% (2020)
- Residues «Harm to certain organs» 0% (2014) → 21% (2020)
- Residues «EDC» 89% unchanged 2014-2020
- Residues «Carcinogenic» 45% unchanged 2014-2020
- Exceeding MRL for Lettuce above save-levels // no safe level for EDCs
- Lettuce samples (2022) residues on all 11 samples // DDT-Metabolite
- Acute toxicity to honeybees remained high



SUR - improvements NEEDED

- Binding Reduction Targets align with ECI Save Bees and Farmers
- Prohibit use of pesticides in and around sensitive zones
- Proposed measures by EU are less strong than the measures in South-Tyrol, but our study showed that it is still not enough
- Bufferzones minimum 50 meters
- Proposal by UN-Special Rapporteur Marcos A. Orellana latest report
- Farm2Fork and EUGreenDeal /// CAP link to agroecological measures
- Approval process for pesticides need to be changed



CETERUM CENSEO PESTICIDIA ESSE INTERDICENDA

(Furthermore and above all, I believe pesticides should be banned)

