

PAN Europe

Pesticides Action Network Europe

Development House 56-64 Leonard Street London EC2A 4JX United Kingdom Tel +44 (0) 207 065 0920 Fax +44 (0) 207 065 0907

Email: sofia-paneurope@pan-uk.org
Website: www.pan-europe.info

January 2006

To: Ministers of Agriculture, Environment and Consumers

Cc: Representative in the EU Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health

Subject: Rejection of authorisation of 8 unacceptable pesticides under Council Directive 91/414/EEC

Dear Minister,

We call upon you to reject a number of Commission proposals to include further substances¹ in Annex I of Directive 91/414/EEC during the next <u>meeting of the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health (SCFCAH) on 26/27</u>
January. We believe that the European Commission is wrongly proposing to authorise placing on the EU market a number of unacceptable pesticides, including several with mutagenic and hormone mimicking properties. The results from the evaluation conducted by Member States experts and scientific advisors do not justify these proposals.

The Commission's move is particularly worrying since the Commission itself has previously informed the various registrants of the respective substances in several letters in August 2005, that it is "considering the possible non-inclusion of the substance". The sudden change in the Commission's opinion is not understandable without further evaluation.

We urge you to insist that the Commission withdraws these proposals. The Commission's current proposals to authorise these substances ignore the negative evaluation results, and only demonstrate how the current lack of transparency in the process can be misused. As the Commission mentions in its earlier letters, once the evaluation was concluded, it should not accept further studies nor modifications to the supported uses deviating from those which have been subject of the evaluation.

¹ Azinphos-methyl, Carbendazim, Dinocap, Fenarimol, Flusilazole, Methamidophos, Procymidone and Vinclozolin

The major obstacles for the authorisation of these substances according to the earlier letters from the Commission are for example:

- Methamidophos poses risks to operators and consumers, as well as birds, mammals and aquatic organisms.
- Procymidone has hormone mimicking potential, and poses risks to birds, mammals and aquatic organisms, while there is "dietary exposure from certain commodities".
- Fenarimol also has hormone mimicking potential and poses high risks to breast-fed babies.

More details about risks highlighted by the Commission and hazards regarding each of the 8 substances are provided in the Annex. You can also find a summary of scientific evidence on endocrine disrupting pesticides (as an attachment to this letter), with special focus on vinclozolin and procymidone.

Furthermore we would like to draw your attention to the fact, that the final decision will have international implications, as EU decisions regarding pesticides are taken as a strong indication of which substances are "safe" for use worldwide, especially in developing countries, where risk management measures and techniques are currently far from being common practice.

We therefore urge you to reject the inclusion of these substances in the EU positive list even if a limited authorisation is proposed, and to ask the European Commission to withdraw their proposal to include these substances in Annex I.

Yours sincerely,

Sofia Parente

PAN Europe Administrator/Coordinator

John Hontelez

Secretary General, EEB

ANNEX

RISKS AND HAZARDS HIGHLIGHTED BY THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION AND HAZARDS CLASSIFICATION

	Risks highlighted by the Commission in August 2005	Hazards classification according to several EU and international agencies
Azinphos-methyl	Risks to consumers	Acute toxic
	Risks to operators	Cholinesterase inhibitor
	Risks to birds, mammals,	Potential groundwater contaminant
	aquatic organisms and non-	
	target arthropods	
Carbendazim	Mutagenic	Possible carcinogen
	Toxic to reproduction	Suspected endocrine disruptor
	High risk to earthworms	
Dinocap	Toxic to reproduction	Moderate toxic
	Risk to operators	Toxic to reproduction
Fenarimol	Endocrine disrupting potential	Suspected endocrine disruptor
	High risk to breast-fed babies	Potential groundwater contaminant
Flusilazole	Endocrine disrupting potential	Endocrine disrupting potential
	Toxic to reproduction	Toxic to reproduction
	Risks to birds, mammals and	
	aquatic organisms	
Methamidophos	Risks to operators	Acute toxic
	Risks to consumers	Cholinesterase inhibitor
	Risks to birds, mammals and	Potential groundwater contaminant
	aquatic organisms	
Procymidone	Endocrine disrupting potential	Carcinogen
	Dietary exposure to residues	Suspected endocrine disruptor
	Risk to birds, mammals and	
	aquatic organisms	
Vinclozolin	Endocrine disrupting potential	Carcinogen
	Risk to birds, mammals and	Suspected endocrine disruptor
	aquatic organisms	Potential groundwater contaminant
		Toxic to reproduction